

This document is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register on 03/07/2016 and available online at http://federalregister.gov/a/2016-05073, and on FDsys.gov

Billing Code: 4163-18-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day-16-15BEZ]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The notice for the proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address any of the following: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond,

including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses; and (e) Assess information collection costs.

To request additional information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, call (404) 639-7570 or send an email to omb@cdc.gov. Direct written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice to the Attention:

CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 395-5806. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Improving Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders Prevention and Practice through Practice and Implementation Centers and National Partnerships — New — National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities (NCBDDD), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities seeks to collect training evaluation data from

healthcare practitioners and staff in health systems where FASD-related practice and systems changes are implemented, and from grantees of Practice and Implementation Centers and national partner organizations related to prevention, identification, and treatment of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders (FASDs).

Prenatal exposure to alcohol is a leading preventable cause of birth defects and developmental disabilities. The term ''fetal alcohol spectrum disorders'' describes the full continuum of effects that can occur in an individual exposed to alcohol in utero. These effects include physical, mental, behavioral, and learning disabilities. All of these have lifelong implications.

The purpose of this program is to expand previous efforts from FASD training programs and shift the perspective from individual training for practicing healthcare professionals to one that capitalizes on prevention opportunities and the ability to impact health care practice at the systems level.

Since 2002, CDC funded FASD Regional Training Centers

(RTCs) to provide education and training to healthcare

professionals and students about FASD prevention,

identification, and treatment. In July 2013, CDC convened an

expert review panel to evaluate the effectiveness of the RTC

program overall and to make recommendations about the program.

The panel highlighted several accomplishments of the RTCs and proposed several changes for future programming: (1) The panel identified a need for more comprehensive coverage nationally with discipline-specific trainings, increased use of technology, greater collaboration with medical societies, and stronger linkages with national partner organizations to increase the reach of training opportunities, and (2) The panel suggested that the training centers focus on demonstrable practice change and sustainability and place a stronger emphasis on primary prevention of FASDs. In addition, it was recommended that future initiatives have stronger evaluation components.

Based on the recommendations of the expert review panel,

CDC is placing increased focus on prevention, demonstrating

practice change, achieving national coverage, and strengthening

partnerships between FASD Practice and Implementation Centers,

or PICs (the newly redesigned RTCs), and medical societies and

national partner organizations. The National Organization on

Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (NOFAS) also participates in this project

as a resource to the PICS and national partners. The PICs and

national partners are asked to closely collaborate in

discipline-specific workgroups (DSWs) and identify strategies

that will increase the reach of the program on a national level.

While a major focus of the grantees' work will be national,

regional approaches will be used to develop new content and

"test out" feasibility and acceptability of materials, especially among healthcare providers and medical societies. In addition, CDC is placing a stronger emphasis on evaluation, with both individual DSW/NOFAS evaluations and a cross-site evaluation.

CDC requests OMB approval to collect program evaluation information from (1) healthcare practitioners from disciplines targeted by each DSW, including training participants, (2) health system staff, and (3) cooperative agreement grantees over a three-year period.

- Healthcare practitioners will complete surveys to provide information on whether project trainings impacted their knowledge and practice behavior regarding FASD identification, prevention, and treatment. The information will be used to improve future trainings and assess whether knowledge and practice changes occurred. Some participants will also complete qualitative key informant interviews to gain additional information on practice change.
- Health system employees will be interviewed or complete surveys as part of projects to assess healthcare systems change, including high impact evaluation studies and DSW systems change projects. The high impact evaluation studies will be primarily qualitative assessments of two to three specific grantee efforts that seem likely to result in achievement of program objectives.

The DSW systems change projects will employ online surveys to assess systems change in selected health systems across the U.S.

• Grantees will complete program evaluation forms to track perceptions of DSW collaboration and perceptions of key successes and challenges encountered by the DSW.

It is estimated that 29,573 respondents will participate in the evaluation each year, for a total estimated burden of 3790 hours annually. There are no costs to respondents other than their time.

Estimated Annualized Burden Hours

Type of	Form Name	No. of	No.	Average
Respondents		Respondents	Responses	Burden
			per	per
			Respondent	Response
				(in
				hours)
Project				
Grantee				
Staff	DSW Report	90	2	10/60
	High Impact			
	Study:			
	Discipline			
	Specific			
	Workgroup			
	Discussion			
	Guide for			
DSW Project	Project			
Staff	Staff	10	2	60/60
	High Impact			
	Study: Key			
	Informant			
	Interview -			
Health Care	Health Care			
System Staff	System Staff	10	2	60/60
FASD Core	FASD Core	4013	1	9/60

Training	Training			
Participants	Survey -			
	Pre-Test			
	FASD Core			
FASD Core	Training			
Training	Survey -			,
Participants	Post-Test	4013	1	5/60
	FASD Core			
	Training			
FASD Core	Survey - 6 Month			
Training Participants		4013	1	6/60
Participants	Follow-Up Pre-Training	4013	Δ_	0/00
	Survey for			
Nurses	Nursing	667	1	9/60
1.41505	Post-	007		J / U
	Training			
	Survey for			
Nurses	Nursing	550	1	9/60
	Six Month			
	Follow-Up			
	Training			
	Survey for			
Nurses	Nursing	440	1	9/60
	Nursing DSW			
	Polling			
Nurses	Questions	417	1	5/60
	Key			
	Informant			
	Interviews			
	with			45 /60
Nurses	Champions	14	2	45/60
	Brief			
	Questionnair e for			
	Nursing			
	Organization			
Nurses	Memberships	2,934	1	10/60
1.41000	Friends &	2,301		
	Members of			
	the Network			
Nurses	Survey	34	2	10/60
Healthcare	Healthcare			
Organization	Organization			
Representati	Utilization			
ves	Survey	234	1	30/60

D1 ' '	I			
Physicians				
and students				
in allied	OBGYN SBI			
health	Knowledge &			
professions	Agency	600	1	2/60
	OBGYN BI-MI			
	Proficiency			
	Rating Scale			
	- Provider			
	Skills			
	Training			
Dhreaigiana	Baseline	600	1	3/60
Physicians		800	1	3/00
	OBGYN BI-MI			
	Proficiency			
	Rating Scale			
Students in	-			
allied	Standardized			
health	Patient			
professions	Version	600	1	3/60
	OBGYN BI-MI			
	Proficiency			
	Rating Scale			
	- Provider			
	Follow Up			
Physicians	(3m & 6m)	600	2	3/60
Physicians	OBGYN		_	37 33
and students	Telecom			
in allied	Training			
health	Satisfaction			
		400	1	E / CO
professions	Survey	480	1	5/60
Physicians	ODGUN 7			
and students	OBGYN Avatar			
in allied	Training			
health	Satisfaction			_ /
professions	Survey	120	1	5/60
	OBGYN FASD-			
	SBI Training			
	Event			
Physicians	Evaluation	124	1	2/60
Residency				
Directors,	OBGYN			
Training	Qualitative			
Coordinators	Key			
, Clinical	Informant			
Directors,	Interview -			
Physicians	Pre-Training	34	1	25/60
LIIASTCTUIIS	rre-irariiriid	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	23/00

D = = 1 -1 - = ===	ODCM			
Residency	OBGYN			
Directors,	Qualitative			
Training	Key			
Coordinators	Informant			
, Clinical	Interview -			
Directors,	Post-			
Physicians	Training	34	1	25/60
Certified	Medical			
Medical	Assistant -			
Assistants	Pre-Test			
and students	Survey	334	1	10/60
	Medical			·
	Assistant -			
	Pre-Test			
	Survey			
Students	(Academic)	67	1	10/60
Certified	Medical	07	Т.	10/00
Medical	Assistant -			
Assistants	Post-Test	2.2.4	1	10/60
and students	Survey	334	1	10/60
	Medical			
	Assistant -			
	Post-Test			
	Survey			
Students	(Academic)	67	1	10/60
Certified	Medical			
Medical	Assistant			
Assistants	Follow Up			
and students	Survey	200	1	10/60
	Medical			
	Assistant			
	Follow Up			
	Survey			
Students	(Academic)	17	1	10/60
D C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Medical	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	10,00
Certified	Assistants			
Medical	Change in			
Assistants	_			
	Practice	250	1	15/60
and students	Survey	250	1	15/60
	Survey of			
	Pediatrician			
	s - Baseline			
	and Follow			
Physicians	Up	534	2	10/60
	AAP Post-			
	Training			
Physicians	Evaluation	120	1	7/60

	Survey			
	AAP Pre-			
	Training			
	Evaluation			
Physicians	Survey	120	1	7/60
	AAP Three			
	Month Follow			
	Up			
	Evaluation			- /
Physicians	Survey	120	1	2/60
	AAP Six			
	Month Follow			
	Up			
Dhyaiaiana	Evaluation	120	1	5/60
Physicians	Survey	120	Ι Ι	3/00
Physicians	FASD Toolkit User Survey	50	1	15/60
FIIYSICIAIIS	FASD Toolkit	30	1	13/60
	Evaluation			
	Focus			
	Group/Guided			
Physicians	Interview	10	1	30/60
-	Pediatric			
	FASD			
	Regional			
	Education			
	and			
	Awareness			
71 ' '	Liaisons	1.0	1	00/60
Physicians	Work Plan	10	1	20/60
	Pediatric FASD			
	Regional			
	Liaison/Cham			
	pion			
	Training			
	Session			
Physicians	Evaluation	10	1	4/60
	Family			
	Medicine			
	Evaluation			
	Questions			
	Addendum for			
	Practice or		_	
Physicians	Individual	62	1	8/60

	Provider			
Practicing				
family				
physicians,				
family				
physician				
faculty,				
residents,	Social Work			
social	and Family			
workers,	Physicians			
social work	Pre-training			
students	Survey	1167	1	8/60
Practicing				
family				
physicians,				
family				
physician				
faculty,	Social Work			
residents, social	and Family			
	Physicians Post-			
workers, social work	training			
students	Survey	1167	1	5/60
Practicing	Burvey	1107		37 00
family				
physicians,				
family	Social Work			
physician	and Family			
faculty,	Physicians 6-Month			
residents,	Follow Up			
social	Survey			
workers,				
social work				
students		1167	1	8/60
NOFAS	NOFAS			
webinar	Webinar	CO1	1	2/60
attendees	Survey	601	1	2/60
	NOFAS Three			
	Month Follow-Up			
NOFAS	FOLLOW-UP Webinar			
webinar	Questionnair			
attendees	e	601	1	2/60
NOFAS		001		2,00
training	NOFAS Pre-			
_	Test Survey	551	1	3/60
participants	Test survey	551	1	3/60

NOFAS				
training	NOFAS Post-			
participants	Test Survey	551	1	3/60
Systems	Clinical			
change	Process			
project	Improvement			
participants	Survey	246	2	10/60
Systems	TCU			
change	Organization			
project	al Readiness			
participants	Survey	246	2	10/60
Systems	Organization			
change	al Readiness			
project	to Change			
participants	Assessment	220	2	10/60

Leroy A. Richardson

Chief, Information Collection Review Office Office of Scientific Integrity

Office of the Associate Director for Science Office of the Director

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[FR Doc. 2016-05073 Filed: 3/4/2016 8:45 am; Publication Date: 3/7/2016]